

Kinetic Equations

Text of the Exercises

– 15.04.2021 –

Teachers: Prof. Chiara Saffirio, Dr. Théophile Dolmaire

Assistant: Dr. Daniele Dimonte – daniele.dimonte@unibas.ch

Recall the Vlasov Equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + E \cdot \nabla_v f = 0, \\ E(t, x) := -\nabla \left(\frac{1}{|x|} * \rho_t \right) (x), \\ \rho_t(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, v) dv, \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Exercise 1

Let $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Prove that f satisfies

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} f(y + tv, w) \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3)), \quad (2)$$

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} |\nabla f(y + tv, w)| \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)). \quad (3)$$

Exercise 2

Let f be a solution of the Vlasov equation (1) such that $\rho \in L^\infty((0, T); L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and with f_0 such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} f_0(y + tv, w) \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3)), \quad (4)$$

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{y \in B_R(x), \\ w \in B_R(v)}} |\nabla f_0(y + tv, w)| \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^1(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)). \quad (5)$$

Prove that

$$\nabla_v f(t, x, v) \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_x^3; L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)) \quad (6)$$

Hint: Recall that we saw in class that under this hypotheses there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $E \in L^\infty((0, T); C^{1, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and use the explicit formula for f given through the characteristics of the problem.

Exercise 3

Let N be a positive integer number and consider ϕ a bounded, continuous and even potential and $\{(x_j, v_j)\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Define $\{(x_j(t), v_j(t))\}_{j=1}^N$ as the family of solutions

of the Newton equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t x_j(t) = v_j(t), \\ \partial_t v_j(t) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \nabla \phi(x_j(t) - x_k(t)), \\ x_j(0) = x_j, \\ v_j(0) = v_j. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Consider the measure μ_0 and μ_t given as¹

$$\mu_0(x, v) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(x - x_j) \delta(v - v_j), \quad (9)$$

$$\mu_t(x, v) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(x - x_j(t)) \delta(v - v_j(t)). \quad (10)$$

Prove that μ_t is a weak solution of (1).

¹Notice that the notation $\delta(x - x_0)$ indicates the delta at the point x_0 and justifies the notation

$$\int_A \delta(x - x_0) dx = \begin{cases} 1, & x_0 \in A, \\ 0, & x_0 \notin A, \end{cases} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(x) \delta(x - x_0) dx = \varphi(x_0). \quad (8)$$